

Permutative representations of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras

Katsunori Kawamura¹

*College of Science and Engineering Ritsumeikan University,
1-1-1 Noji Higashi, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan.*

Abstract

We generalize permutative representations of the Cuntz algebras for the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ for any A . We characterize cyclic permutative representations by notions of cycle and chain, and show their existence and uniqueness. We show necessary and sufficient conditions for their irreducibility and equivalence. In consequence, we have a complete classification of permutative representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$. Furthermore we show decomposition formulae and that the uniqueness of irreducible decomposition holds for permutative representation.

1 Introduction

Representation theory of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras has an application to the Perron-Frobenius operator of dynamical system [8]. For analysis of such representations, it is necessary to research their fundamental property. On the other hand, permutative representations of the Cuntz algebras are completely classified by [3, 5]. They are applied to representations of the fermion algebra [1], classification of theories of quantum string fields [2]. Further the classification of sectors are obtained by branching laws of permutative representations [6] and boson-fermion correspondence is explained by the branching law of permutative representation [7]. In this article, we generalize permutative representations to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ for any A and show their property, that is, existence, canonical basis, uniqueness, irreducibility, equivalence and irreducible decomposition. The remarkable point is that *the uniqueness of irreducible decomposition holds* for permutative representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$ for any A . Therefore their decomposition formulae make sense.

Let $N \geq 2$ and A be an $N \times N$ matrix which has entries in $\{0, 1\}$ and has no rows or columns identically equal to zero, and let $s_1, \dots, s_N$ be canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_A$.

Definition 1.1 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be a representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$.*

¹e-mail:kawamura@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

- (i) $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is a **permutative representation** of $\mathcal{O}_A$ with phases if there is a complete orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, a family $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of subsets of Λ and $(z_{i,n}, m_{i,n}) \in U(1) \times \Lambda$ such that

$$\pi(s_i)e_n = z_{i,n}e_{m_{i,n}} \quad (n \in \Lambda_i), \quad \pi(s_i)e_n = 0 \quad (\text{otherwise}). \quad (1.1)$$

Especially, we call $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ by a permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ when $z_{i,n} = 1$ for any (i, n) .

- (ii) $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is $P(J; z)$ for $J = (j_l)_{l=1}^p \in \{1, \dots, N\}^p$ and $z \in U(1)$ if there is a cyclic unit vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_p})\Omega = z\Omega$ and $\{\pi(s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_p})\Omega\}_{l=1}^p$ is an orthonormal family. Especially, we denote $P(J) \equiv P(J; 1)$.
- (iii) $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is $P(J)$ for $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$ if there is a cyclic unit vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\{\pi(s_{j_n}^* \cdots s_{j_1}^*)\Omega\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is an orthonormal family.

Ω in both (ii) and (iii) is called the GP vector of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$.

Theorem 1.2 If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is a permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ with phases, then the following holds:

- (i) $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is decomposed into the direct sum of cyclic permutative representations with phases uniquely up to unitary equivalence.
- (ii) If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is cyclic, then $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is either the case (i) or (ii) in Definition 1.1.
- (iii) $P((j_n)_{n=1}^p; c)$ (resp. $P((k_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}})$) is irreducible if and only if there is no $\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}_p \setminus \{id\}$ such that $(j_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(p)}) = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$ (resp. there is no $(q, n_0) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ such that $k_{n+q} = k_n$ for each $n \geq n_0$).
- (iv) $P((j_n)_{n=1}^p; c) \not\sim P((k_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}})$ for any $((j_n)_{n=1}^p, c)$ and $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$.
- (v) $P((j_n)_{n=1}^p; c) \sim P((j'_n)_{n=1}^p; c')$ if and only if $p = p'$, $c = c'$ and there is $\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $j'_{\sigma(n)} = j_n$ for each $n = 1, \dots, p$.
- (vi) $P((k_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}) \sim P((k'_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}})$ if and only if there is $(q, n_0) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}$ such that $k_{n+q} = k'_n$ for each $n \geq n_0$.

In Sect. 2, we prepare multiindices associated with a matrix A and introduce A -branching function systems and show their properties. In Sect. 3, the existence of cyclic representations appearing in Theorem 1.2 (ii) is shown

for each multiindex in Sect. 2. We show the construction of the canonical basis of a given permutative representation. By using this, we show uniqueness. In Sect. 4, we show irreducibility and equivalence of them. In Sect. 5, we show decomposition formulae of permutative representations. Theorem 1.2 is shown here. In Sect. 6, we show states and spectrums of $\mathcal{O}_A$ associated with permutative representations. In Sect. 7, we show examples.

2 A-branching function systems

2.1 Multiindices

Let $\{1, \dots, N\}^0 \equiv \{0\}$, $\{1, \dots, N\}^k \equiv \{(j_l)_{l=1}^k : j_l = 1, \dots, N, l = 1, \dots, k\}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\{1, \dots, N\}^\infty \equiv \{(j_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} : j_n \in \{1, \dots, N\}, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, $\{1, \dots, N\}^* \equiv \coprod_{k \geq 0} \{1, \dots, N\}^k$, $\{1, \dots, N\}_1^* \equiv \coprod_{k \geq 1} \{1, \dots, N\}^k$, $\{1, \dots, N\}^\# \equiv \{1, \dots, N\}_1^* \sqcup \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$. For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\#$, the *length* $|J|$ of J is defined by $|J| \equiv k$ when $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k$. For $J_1, J_2 \in \{1, \dots, N\}^*$ and $J_3 \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$, $J_1 \cup J_2 \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_k, j'_1, \dots, j'_l)$, $J_1 \cup J_3 \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_k, j''_1, j''_2, \dots)$ when $J_1 = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, $J_2 = (j'_1, \dots, j'_l)$ and $J_3 = (j''_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Especially, we define $J \cup \{0\} = \{0\} \cup J = J$ for $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\#$ and $(i, J) \equiv (i) \cup J$ for convenience. For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^*$, $J^k \equiv J \cup \dots \cup J$ (k times) and $J^\infty \equiv J \cup J \cup J \cup \dots \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$. For $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{Z}_k$, define $\tau(J) \equiv (j_{\tau(1)}, \dots, j_{\tau(k)})$.

For $N \geq 2$, let $M_N(\{0, 1\})$ be the set of all $N \times N$ matrices in which have entries in $\{0, 1\}$ and has no rows or columns identically equal to zero. $A = (a_{ij})$ is *full* if $a_{ij} = 1$ for each $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. For $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, define

$$\{1, \dots, N\}_A^* \equiv \coprod_{k \geq 0} \{1, \dots, N\}_A^k, \quad \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^* \equiv \coprod_{k \geq 1} \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k,$$

$$\{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty \equiv \{(j_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty : a_{j_{n-1}j_n} = 1, n \geq 2\},$$

$$\{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\# \equiv \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^* \sqcup \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$$

where $\{1, \dots, N\}_A^0 \equiv \{0\}$, $\{1, \dots, N\}_A^1 \equiv \{1, \dots, N\}$, $\{1, \dots, N\}_A^k \equiv \{(j_i)_{i=1}^k \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k : a_{j_{i-1}j_i} = 1, i = 2, \dots, k\}$, $\{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k \equiv \{(j_i)_{i=1}^k \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^k : a_{j_k j_1} = 1\}$ for $k \geq 2$.

$J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_1^*$ is *periodic* if there are $m \geq 2$ and $J_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}_1^*$ such that $J = J_0^m$. For $J_1, J_2 \in \{1, \dots, N\}_1^*$, $J_1 \sim J_2$ if there are $k \geq 1$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{Z}_k$ such that $|J_1| = |J_2| = k$ and $\tau(J_1) = J_2$. For $(J, z), (J', z') \in \{1, \dots, N\}_1^* \times U(1)$, $(J, z) \sim (J', z')$ if $J \sim J'$ and $z = z'$ where $U(1) \equiv$

$\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$. $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$ is *eventually periodic* if there are $J_0, J_1 \in \{1, \dots, N\}_1^*$ such that $J = J_0 \cup J_1^\infty$. Especially, if $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$, then $J_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$ and $J_1 \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$ in the above. For $J_1, J_2 \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$, $J_1 \sim J_2$ if there are $J_3, J_4 \in \{1, \dots, N\}^*$ and $J_5 \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$ such that $J_1 = J_3 \cup J_5$ and $J_2 = J_4 \cup J_5$. If $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$ is eventually periodic, then there is $J_1 \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$ such that $J \sim J_1^\infty$. For $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\#$, $J \sim J'$ if $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_1^*$ and $J \sim J'$, or $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$ and $J \sim J'$.

For $J_1 = (j_1, \dots, j_k), J_2 = (j'_1, \dots, j'_k) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k$, $J_1 \prec J_2$ if $\sum_{l=1}^k (j'_l - j_l) N^{k-l} \geq 0$. $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_1^*$ is *minimal* if $J \prec J'$ for each $J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_1^*$ such that $J \sim J'$. Especially, any element in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ is non periodic and minimal. Define

$$< 1, \dots, N >_A^* \equiv \{J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^* : J \text{ is minimal}\},$$

$$< 1, \dots, N >_A^\infty \equiv \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty / \sim,$$

$$[1, \dots, N]_A^* \equiv \{J \in < 1, \dots, N >_A^* : J \text{ is non periodic}\},$$

$$[1, \dots, N]_A^\infty \equiv \{[J] \in < 1, \dots, N >_A^\infty : J \text{ is non eventually periodic}\}$$

where $[J] \equiv \{J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty : J \sim J'\}$. Then $[1, \dots, N]_A^*$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of all equivalence classes of non periodic elements in $\{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$. We denote an element $[K]$ of both $< 1, \dots, N >_A^\infty$ and $[1, \dots, N]_A^\infty$ by a representative element K if there is no ambiguity. Define

$$\begin{cases} < 1, \dots, N >_A^\# \equiv < 1, \dots, N >_A^* \sqcup < 1, \dots, N >_A^\infty, \\ [1, \dots, N]_A^\# \equiv [1, \dots, N]_A^* \sqcup [1, \dots, N]_A^\infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

2.2 A-branching function systems

We denote the set of injective maps from X to Y by $RN(X, Y)$ and define $RN_{loc}(X, Y) \equiv \bigcup_{X_0 \subset X} RN(X_0, Y)$. We simply denote $RN(X) \equiv RN(X, X)$. For $f \in RN_{loc}(X)$, we denote the domain and the range of f by $D(f)$ and $R(f)$, respectively. $RN_{loc}(X)$ and $RN(X)$ is a groupoid and a semigroup by composition of maps, respectively. We denote $X \times Y$ and $X \cup Y$, the direct product and the direct sum of X and Y as sets, respectively. For $f \in RN(X_1, Y_1)$ and $g \in RN(X_2, Y_2)$, $f \oplus g \in RN(X_1 \cup X_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2)$ is defined by $(f \oplus g)|_{X_1} \equiv f$, $(f \oplus g)|_{X_2} \equiv g$.

Definition 2.1 For $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is an A -branching function system on a set X if f satisfies the following:

- (i) There is a family $\{D(f_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ of subsets of X such that f_i is an injective map from $D(f_i)$ to X with the image $R(f_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$.
- (ii) $R(f_i) \cap R(f_j) = \emptyset$ when $i \neq j$.
- (iii) $D(f_i) = \coprod_{j:a_{ij}=1} R(f_j)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$.
- (iv) $X = \coprod_{i=1}^N R(f_i)$.

Epecially, if A is full, then we call A -branching function system by $(N-)$ branching function system simply. We denote the set of all A -branching function systems, branching function systems on X by $\text{BFS}_A(X)$, $\text{BFS}_N(X)$, respectively.

By definition, $\text{BFS}_A(X) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\#X = \infty$. Hence we assume $\#X = \infty$ in this article. F is the coding map of $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$ if F is a map on X such that $(F \circ f_i)(x) = x$ for each $x \in X$ and $i = 1, \dots, N$. For $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$ and $g = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(Y)$, $f \sim g$ if there is a bijection φ from X to Y such that $\varphi \circ f_i \circ \varphi^{-1} = g_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. For $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$ and $g = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(Y)$, we denote $f \oplus g \equiv \{f_i \oplus g_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(X \cup Y)$. Let $\{X_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Xi}$ be a family of sets. For $f^{[\omega]} = \{f_i^{[\omega]}\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(X_\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Xi$, f is the direct sum of $\{f^{[\omega]}\}_{\omega \in \Xi}$ if $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$ for a set $X \equiv \coprod_{\omega \in \Xi} X_\omega$ which is defined by $f_i(n) \equiv f_i^{[\omega]}(n)$ when $n \in X_\omega$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\omega \in \Xi$. For $f \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$, $f = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Xi} f^{[\omega]}$ is a decomposition of f into a family $\{f^{[\omega]}\}_{\omega \in \Xi}$ if there is a family $\{X_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Xi}$ of subsets of X such that f is the direct sum of $\{f^{[\omega]}\}_{\omega \in \Xi}$.

For $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$, define $f_J \equiv f_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_k}$ when $J = (j_l)_{l=1}^k$ and define $f_0 \equiv \text{id}$. When we denote $f_i(x)$, we assume $x \in D(f_i)$ automatically. Define $\mathcal{C}_x \equiv \{f_J(x) \in X : J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^* \text{ s.t. } x \in D(f_J)\} \cup \{F^n(x) \in X : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where F is the coding map of f . For $x \in X$, if $y \in \mathcal{C}_x$, then $\mathcal{C}_y = \mathcal{C}_x$. For $f \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$, f is cyclic if there is $x \in X$ such that $\mathcal{C}_x = X$. $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset X$ is a cycle of f by $J = (j_l)_{l=1}^k$ if $f_{j_l}(x_{l+1}) = x_l$ for $l = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $f_{j_k}(x_1) = x_k$. $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ is a chain of f by $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if $f_{j_{n-1}}(x_n) = x_{n-1}$ for each $n \geq 2$. For each $x \in X$ and $f \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$, $f|_{\mathcal{C}_x} \in \text{BFS}_A(\mathcal{C}_x)$ and $f|_{\mathcal{C}_x}$ is cyclic. For $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, let $f \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$. If f is cyclic, then f has either only a cycle or a chain. If f is cyclic and has two chains $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then there are p and $M \geq 0$ such that $x_{p+n} = y_n$ or $x_n = y_{n+p}$ for each $n > M$. For any

$f \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$, there is a decomposition $X = \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ such that $f|_{X_\lambda}$ is cyclic for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Definition 2.2 For $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, let $f \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$.

- (i) For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$ (resp. $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$), f has a $P(J)$ -component if f has a cycle (resp. a chain) by J .
- (ii) For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$, f is $P(J)$ if f is cyclic and has a $P(J)$ -component.

For $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$, assume that f and f' are $P(J)$ and $P(J')$, respectively. Then $f \sim f'$ if and only if $J \sim J'$. This follows from the uniqueness of cycle and chain up to equivalence. In consequence, the following holds:

Lemma 2.3 For any $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$ and $f \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$, there is a decomposition $X = \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ where $f|_{X_\lambda}$ is $P(J_\lambda)$ for some $J_\lambda \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. This decomposition is unique up to equivalence of branching function systems.

We can simply describe the statement in Lemma 2.3 as follows:

$$f \sim \bigoplus_{J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\#} P(J)^{\oplus \nu_J}$$

where ν_J is the multiplicity of $P(J)$ in f .

2.3 Construction of A -branching function system

Fix $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$. Define

$$\mathcal{T}(A; j) \equiv \prod_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{T}^{(k)}(A; j), \quad \mathcal{T}(j; A) \equiv \prod_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{T}^{(k)}(j; A) \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}(A; j) \equiv \{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^k : a_{j_k j} = 1\}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}(j; A) \equiv \{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^k : a_{j j_1} = 1\}$. For $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, define $J_l \equiv (j_l, \dots, j_k)$ for $l = 1, \dots, k$ and

$$\Lambda(A, J) \equiv \Lambda_1(A, J) \sqcup \Lambda_2(A, J) \sqcup \Lambda_3(A, J), \quad (2.3)$$

$\Lambda_1(A, J) \equiv \{J_l : 1 \leq l \leq k\}$, $\Lambda_2(A, J) \equiv \Lambda_{2,1}(A, J) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Lambda_{2,k}(A, J)$, $\Lambda_{2,l}(A, J) \equiv \{(j, J_l) : j \in \mathcal{T}^{(1)}(A; j_l), j \neq j_{l-1}\}$ for $l = 1, \dots, k$, $\Lambda_3(A, J) \equiv \prod_{(j, J_l) \in \Lambda_2(A, J)} \mathcal{T}(A; j) \times \{(j, J_l)\}$ where $j_0 \equiv j_k$. Then the following holds:

Lemma 2.4 Let $\{D(f_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ be a family of subsets of $\Lambda(A, J)$ by

$$D(f_i) \equiv \mathcal{T}(i; A) \cap \Lambda(A, J) \quad (i = 1, \dots, N)$$

and $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a family of maps by $f_i : D(f_i) \rightarrow \Lambda(A, J)$;

$$f_i(J') \equiv J_k \quad (J' = J \text{ and } i = j_k), \quad f_i(J') \equiv (i, J') \quad (\text{otherwise})$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then f is an A -branching function system on $\Lambda(A, J)$ and f is $P(J)$.

For $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$, define

$$\Lambda(A, J) \equiv \Lambda_1(A, J) \sqcup \Lambda_2(A, J) \sqcup \Lambda_3(A, J) \quad (2.4)$$

where $\Lambda_1(A, J) \equiv \mathbf{N}$, $\Lambda_2(A, J) \equiv \coprod_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \Lambda_{2,m}(A, J)$, $\Lambda_{2,1}(A, J) \equiv \{(j, 1) : j \in \mathcal{T}^{(1)}(A; j_1)\}$, $\Lambda_{2,m}(A, J) \equiv \{(j, m) : j \in \mathcal{T}^{(1)}(A; j_m), j \neq j_{m-1}\}$ for $m \geq 2$ and $\Lambda_3(A, J) \equiv \coprod_{(j,m) \in \Lambda_2(A, J)} \mathcal{T}(A; j) \times \{(j, m)\}$. Then the following holds:

Lemma 2.5 Let $\{D(f_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ be a family of subsets of $\Lambda(A, J)$ by

$$D(f_i) \equiv \{m \in \mathbf{N} : a_{ij_m} = 1\} \sqcup (\mathcal{T}(i; A) \times \mathbf{N}) \cap \Lambda(A, J)$$

and let $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a family of maps by $f_i : D(f_i) \rightarrow \Lambda(A, J)$;

$$\begin{cases} f_i(m) \equiv \begin{cases} m-1 & (i = j_{m-1} \text{ and } m \geq 2), \\ (i, m) & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} & (m \in \Lambda_1(A, J) \cap D(f_i)), \\ f_i(J', m) \equiv (\{i\} \cup J', m) & ((J', m) \in (\Lambda_2(A, J) \sqcup \Lambda_3(A, J)) \cap D(f_i)). \end{cases}$$

Then f is an A -branching function system on $\Lambda(A, J)$ and f is $P(J)$.

By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, the following holds:

Lemma 2.6 For each $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$ and $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$, there is an element in $\text{BFS}_A(\mathbf{N})$ which is $P(J)$.

3 Existence and uniqueness

For $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, $\mathcal{O}_A$ is the Cuntz-Krieger algebra by A if $\mathcal{O}_A$ is a C^* -algebra which is universally generated by partial isometries $s_1, \dots, s_N$ satisfying $s_i^* s_i = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} s_j s_j^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N s_i s_i^* = I$ [4]. Especially, $\mathcal{O}_A$ is the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ when A is full. For $g = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in T^N (\equiv U(1)^N)$, define $\alpha_g \in \text{Aut} \mathcal{O}_A$ by $\alpha_g(s_i) \equiv z_i s_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. We denote the canonical $U(1)$ -gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_A$ by γ . For $J = (j_l)_{l=1}^k$, we denote $s_J \equiv s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_k}$ and $s_J^* \equiv s_{j_k}^* \cdots s_{j_1}^*$. When $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^*$, $s_J \neq 0$ if and only if $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$. In this article, a representation always means a unital $*$ -representation on a complex Hilbert space. $(\mathcal{H}_1, \pi_1) \sim (\mathcal{H}_2, \pi_2)$ means the unitary equivalence between two representations $(\mathcal{H}_1, \pi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, \pi_2)$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$.

For $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$, a representation $(l_2(X), \pi_f)$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ is defined by $\pi_f(s_i) e_n = \chi_{D(f_i)}(n) \cdot e_{f_i(n)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$, $n \in X$ where $\chi_{D(f_i)}$ is the characteristic function on $D(f_i)$. Then $(l_2(X), \pi_f)$ is a permutative representation. Further any permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ is equivalent to $(l_2(X), \pi_f)$ for a suitable f . We denote $(l_2(X), \pi_f)$ by π_f simply. For $f \in \text{BFS}_A(X)$ and $g \in \text{BFS}_A(Y)$, $\pi_{f \oplus g} \sim \pi_f \oplus \pi_g$. If $f \sim g$, then $\pi_f \sim \pi_g$. If f is cyclic, then π_f is. Recall $P(J)$ in Definition 2.1. If f contains a $P(J)$ -component for $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$, then π_f does. For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$, if f is $P(J)$, then π_f is $P(J)$. For a representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ which is $P(J)$ and an automorphism φ , we denote $(\mathcal{H}, \pi \circ \varphi)$ by $P(J) \circ \varphi$. By Definition 1.1, the following holds:

- Lemma 3.1** (i) For $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k$, $g = (z_i)_{i=1}^N \in T^N$ and $w \in U(1)$, $P(J; w) \circ \alpha_g \sim P(J; wz_J)$ where $z_J \equiv z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_k}$. Especially, for $z, w \in U(1)$, $P(J; w) \circ \gamma_z \sim P(J; wz^k)$ and $P(J) \circ \gamma_z \sim P(J; z^k)$.
- (ii) For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$ and $g \in T^N$, $P(J) \circ \alpha_g \sim P(J)$.

By this, Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 3.1, we have the following:

Lemma 3.2 Let $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$.

- (i) For each $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$, there exists a representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ which is $P(J)$.
- (ii) For each $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$ and $z \in U(1)$, there exists a representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ which is $P(J; z)$.

Proposition 3.3 *For any permutative representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$, there is a family $\{(\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of cyclic permutative representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$ such that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)$. Furthermore $(\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)$ is $P(J_\lambda)$ for some $J_\lambda \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.2, it holds. ■

By definition of $P(J)$, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.4 *For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\#$, let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω . Then the following holds:*

- (i) *When $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$, define $e_x \equiv \pi(s_x)\Omega$ for $x \in \Lambda(A, J)$ where $\Lambda(A, J)$ is in (2.3). Then $\{e_x\}_{x \in \Lambda(A, J)}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$.*
- (ii) *When $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$, define*

$$e_n \equiv \pi(s_{J[1,n]})^* \Omega \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}), \quad e_{J',n} \equiv \pi(s_{J'})e_n \quad (J' \in \mathcal{T}(A; j_n) \setminus \{j_{n-1}\}),$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(A, J) \equiv \mathcal{T}(A; j_1) \sqcup \coprod_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{T}_n^{\text{out}}(A; J), \quad \mathcal{T}_n^{\text{out}}(A; J) \equiv \mathcal{T}(A; j_n) \setminus \{j_{n-1}\}$$

where $J[1, n] \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ and $\mathcal{T}(A; j_n)$ is in (2.2). Then $\{e_x\}_{x \in \tilde{\Lambda}(A, J)}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$.

By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, the following holds.

Proposition 3.5 (i) *For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$, $P(J)$ exists uniquely up to unitary equivalence.*

- (ii) *For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$ and $z \in U(1)$, $P(J; z)$ exists uniquely up to unitary equivalence.*

By Proposition 3.5, both symbols $P(J)$ and $P(J; z)$ make sense as an equivalence class of representations.

4 Irreducibility and equivalence

Let $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$.

4.1 Sufficient condition of irreducibility

Lemma 4.1 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω for $J = (j_l)_{l=1}^k \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k$ and $\Omega_l \equiv \pi(s_{j_l} \cdots s_{j_k})\Omega$ for $l = 1, \dots, k$. Assume that J is non periodic. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *If $J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$ is not in $\{J^n : n \geq 1\}$, then there is $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\pi(s_J^*)^n \pi(s_{J'})\Omega = 0$ for some $n \geq n_0$.*
- (ii) *If $v \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $\langle v | \Omega \rangle = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(s_J^*)^n v = 0$.*

Proof. We simply denote $\pi(s_i)$ by s_i for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

(i) If $J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^l$ for $1 \leq l < k$, then the non-periodicity of J implies $s_J^* s_{J'} \Omega = \delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_l), J'} \cdot s_{j_{l+1}, \dots, j_k}^* \Omega = 0$. If $J' = J'_1 \cup J'_2$ and $|J'_1| = nk$ and $|J'_2| = l$ for $l = 1, \dots, k-1$, then $(s_J^*)^{n+1} s_{J'} \Omega = \delta_{J^n, J'_1} s_J^* s_{J'_2} \Omega = 0$ by the previous case.

(ii) By Lemma 3.4, there is a family $\{J'_m \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^* : m \in \mathbf{N}\}$ such that $\{s_{J'_m} \Omega\}_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ and $J'_1 = J$. When $\langle v | \Omega \rangle = 0$, we can denote $v = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n s_{J'_n} \Omega$. If $m \geq 2$, then $J'_m \notin \{J^n : n \geq 1\}$. Therefore there is $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $(s_J^*)^n s_{J'_m} \Omega = 0$ for $n \geq n_0$ by (i). Hence $\|(s_J^*)^n v\|$ is monotone decreasing. Hence the statement holds. ■

Lemma 4.2 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P(J)$ for $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$ and Ω, Ω' be vectors of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(s_J)\Omega = \Omega$ and $\pi(s_J)\Omega' = \Omega'$. If J is non periodic, then $\Omega' = c\Omega$ for some $c \in \mathbf{C}$.*

Proof. By assumption and Lemma 3.4, there is a set $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$ such that Ω' is written as $c\Omega + \sum_{J'' \in \Lambda} a_{J''} \pi(s_{J''})\Omega$ where $\langle \pi(s_{J''})\Omega | \Omega \rangle = 0$ for each $J'' \in \Lambda$, and $\pi(s_J)^* \Omega' = \Omega'$. By Lemma 4.1, $\Omega' = c\Omega$. ■

Lemma 4.3 *If $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$ is non periodic, then $P(J; z)$ is irreducible for any $z \in U(1)$. Especially, if J is non periodic, then $P(J)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Assume that J is non periodic and $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω . For $v \in \mathcal{H}$, $v \neq 0$, there is $J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$ such that $\langle \pi(s_{J'})v | \Omega \rangle \neq 0$. Therefore we can always replace v and $\pi(s_{J'})v$. Assume that $v = \Omega + y$ such that $\langle y | \Omega \rangle = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi((s_J^*)^n)y = 0$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi((s_J^*)^n)v = \Omega$ and $\Omega \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_A)v$. Because Ω is a cyclic vector, $\pi(\mathcal{O}_A)v = \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is irreducible. By this and Lemma 3.1,

$P(J; z)$ is irreducible for each $z \in U(1)$. ■

Lemma 4.4 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω for $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$. Assume that J is non eventually periodic and define $J_n \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *For each $m, k \geq 1$ and $J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^k$ so that $J' \neq J_k$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi(s_{J_n} s_{J_n}^* s_{J'} s_{J_m}^*) \Omega = 0$ for each $n \geq n_0$.*
- (ii) *For each $k \geq 1$ and $J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^k$ so that $J' \neq J_k$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi(s_{J_n} s_{J_n}^* s_{J'}) \Omega = 0$ for each $n \geq n_0$.*
- (iii) *For each $m \geq 1$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi(s_{J_n} s_{J_n}^* s_{J_m}^*) \Omega = 0$ for each $n \geq n_0$.*
- (iv) *If $y \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $\langle y | \Omega \rangle = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(s_{J_n} s_{J_n}^*) y = 0$.*

Proof. We simply denote $\pi(s_i)$ by s_i .

(i) and (ii) follow by assumption of J' .

(iii) Since J is non eventually periodic, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_{J_n}^* s_{J_m}^* s_{J_{n+m}} = 0$ for each $n \geq n_0$. Therefore $s_{J_n}^* s_{J_m}^* \Omega = s_{J_n}^* s_{J_m}^* s_{J_{n+m}} s_{J_{n+m}}^* \Omega = 0$ for each $n \geq n_0$. Hence the statement holds.

(iv) Denote $e_1 \equiv \Omega$ and $e_m \equiv s_{J_{m-1}}^* \Omega$ for $m \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.4, there is a family $\{K_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$ such that $\{s_{K_{n,m}} e_m\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$. If $y \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $\langle y | \Omega \rangle = 0$, then we can denote $y = \sum_{m \geq 2} \sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n,m} s_{K_{n,m}} e_m$. Therefore $\|s_{J_n} s_{J_n}^* y\|$ is monotone decreasing by (i),(ii),(iii). Hence the statement holds. ■

Lemma 4.5 *If $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$ is non eventually periodic, then $P(J)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω for $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$. Denote $J_n \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_n)$. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $v \neq 0$. We can assume that $\langle v | \Omega \rangle \neq 0$ by replacing v by $\pi(s_{J'} s_{J''}^*) v$ for suitable $J', J'' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$ if it is necessary. We can assume that $v = \Omega + y$ for some $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle y | \Omega \rangle = 0$. By Lemma 4.4, $\Omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(s_{J_n} s_{J_n}^*) y \in \overline{\pi(\mathcal{O}_A) y}$. Because Ω is a cyclic vector, $\overline{\pi(\mathcal{O}_A) y} = \mathcal{H}$ and the statement holds. ■

The inverse of Lemma 4.5 is shown in Sect. 5.

4.2 Equivalence

Recall the equivalence among multiindices in Sect. 2.1.

Lemma 4.6 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω for $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$. Assume that J is non periodic and choose $J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}^*$ such that $J' \not\sim J$.*

- (i) *If $\Omega' \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies that $\pi(s_{J'})\Omega' = \Omega'$, then $\langle \Omega | \Omega' \rangle = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $v \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(s_{J'}^*)^n v = 0$.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $|J| = k$ and $|J'| = l$. Because of the non-periodicity of J , $J^l \neq (J')^k$. This implies the statement.

(ii) If $v = \pi(s_{J''})\Omega$, then $\pi((s_{J'}^*)^{n_0+n})v = \delta_{(J')^m, J''} \cdot \pi((s_{J'}^*)^n)\Omega \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 4.1 and (i). Because any $v \in \mathcal{H}$ is a limit of linear combination of $\{\pi(s_{J''})\Omega : J'' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*\}$, the statement holds. ■

Lemma 4.7 *Let $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$.*

- (i) *If J and J' are non periodic and $J \not\sim J'$, then $P(J) \not\sim P(J')$.*
- (ii) *For $z, z' \in U(1)$, if $(J, z) \sim (J', z')$, then $P(J; z) \sim P(J'; z')$. Especially, if $J \sim J'$, then $P(J) \sim P(J')$.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $P(J) \sim P(J')$. Then there is a representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ which is $P(J)$ and $P(J')$. Assume that $\Omega, \Omega' \in \mathcal{H}$ are GP vectors with respect to $P(J)$ and $P(J')$, respectively. Then $\langle \Omega' | v \rangle = \langle \Omega' | \pi((s_{J'}^*)^n)v \rangle \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 4.6. Hence $\Omega' = 0$. Therefore this is contradiction. Hence the statement holds.

(ii) Assume that $J \sim J'$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k$. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω . Then $\pi(s_J)\Omega = \Omega$. By assumption, there is $\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}_k$ such that $J' = \sigma(J)$. Define $\Omega' \equiv \pi(s_{j_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots s_{j_{\sigma(k)}})\Omega$. Then $\pi(s_{J'})\Omega' = \Omega'$ and Ω' is a cyclic vector of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$. Hence $P(J) \sim (\mathcal{H}, \pi) \sim P(J')$. If $(J, z) \sim (J', z')$, then $J \sim J'$ and $z = z'$ by definition. Then $P(J) \sim P(J')$ by the previous result. By Lemma 3.1, the statement holds. ■

Proposition 4.8 *For $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$, assume that J, J' are non periodic. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *$P(J) \sim P(J')$ if and only if $J \sim J'$.*

(ii) For $z, z' \in U(1)$, $P(J; z) \sim P(J'; z')$ if and only if $(J, z) \sim (J', z')$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4.7, the statement holds.

(ii) If $P(J) \sim P(J'; z)$, then $J \sim J'$ by the proof of Lemma 4.7. If $P(J)$ and $P(J; z)$ are equivalent, then there is a representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ which is $P(J)$ and $P(J; z)$ with GP vectors Ω and Ω' , respectively. Then $\pi(s_J)\Omega = \Omega$ and $\pi(s_J)\Omega' = z\Omega'$. From this, $z = 1$ or $\langle \Omega | \Omega' \rangle = 0$. If $\langle \Omega | \Omega' \rangle = 0$, then $\pi((s_J^*)^n)\Omega' \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is contradiction. Therefore $P(J) \not\sim P(J; z)$ when $z \neq 1$. On the other hand, $P(J; z) \sim P(J'; z')$ if and only if $P(J) \sim P(J'; z') \circ \gamma_{\bar{z}^{1/k}} = P(J'; z' \bar{z}^{l/k})$ when $|J| = k$ and $|J'| = l$ by Lemma 3.1. Therefore $P(J; z) \sim P(J'; z')$ if and only if $J \sim J'$ and $z' \bar{z} = 1$. This implies the statement. $\blacksquare$

In Sect. 5, we show the statement in Proposition 4.8 without assumption of non periodicity.

Lemma 4.9 For $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$, if $J \not\sim J'$, then $P(J) \not\sim P(J')$.

Proof. Assume that $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, $J' = (j'_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, $J \not\sim J'$ and $P(J) \sim P(J')$. Then there is a representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ which is $P(J)$ and $P(J')$ with GP vectors Ω and Ω' , respectively. Define $e_n \equiv \pi(s_{j_n}^* \cdots s_{j_1}^*)\Omega$ and $e'_n \equiv \pi(s_{j'_n}^* \cdots s_{j'_1}^*)\Omega'$ where $J_n \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ and $J'_n \equiv (j'_1, \dots, j'_n)$ for $n \geq 1$. Then $\langle \Omega | \Omega' \rangle = \langle \pi(s_{J_n})e_{n+1} | \pi(s_{J'_n})e'_{n+1} \rangle = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ because $J \not\sim J'$. In the same way, we see that $\langle e_m | e'_n \rangle = 0$ for each $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$. From this, $\langle \pi(s_K)e_m | e'_n \rangle = 0$ for each $K \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$ and $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore $\langle v | e'_n \rangle = 0$ for each $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence $e'_n = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. This contradicts with the choice of $\{e'_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Therefore $P(J) \not\sim P(J')$. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 4.10 For $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$, $P(J) \sim P(J')$ if and only if $J \sim J'$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.9, it is sufficient to show that $J \sim J'$ implies that $P(J) \sim P(J')$. Assume that $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, $J' = (j'_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $J \sim J'$. Then there are $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $M \geq 1$ such that $j'_n = j_{n+p}$ for each $n \geq M$. If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω , then define $t_n \equiv s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_n}$ and $t'_n \equiv s_{j'_1} \cdots s_{j'_n}$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\Omega' \equiv \pi(t_M^* t_{M+p}^*)\Omega$. Then $\pi((t'_{M+n})^*)\Omega' = \pi(t_{M+n+p}^*)\Omega$ for each $n \geq 1$. Therefore $\{\pi((t'_l)^*)\Omega'\}_{l \geq 1}$ is a chain of π by J' . Because Ω is a cyclic vector, Ω' is. Hence the statement holds. $\blacksquare$

5 Decomposition

Proposition 5.1 For $(J, c) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^* \times U(1)$ and $p \geq 1$,

$$P(J^p; c) \sim \bigoplus_{j=1}^p P(J; c^{1/p} \xi^j) \quad (5.1)$$

where $\xi \equiv e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p}$. Especially,

$$P(J^p) \sim \bigoplus_{j=1}^p P(J; \xi^j). \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Assume that $J = (j_l)_{l=1}^k \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k$. Let $(\mathcal{H}_j, \pi_j)$ be $P(J; \xi^j)$ with the GP vector Ω_j for $j = 1, \dots, p$. Let $\Omega \equiv p^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^p \Omega_j \in \mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_p$ and $\pi \equiv \pi_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \pi_p$. Then $\pi(s_{J^p})\Omega = \Omega$ and $\{\pi(s_{j_l} \dots s_{j_k} s_{J^a})\Omega : a = 0, \dots, p-1, l = 1, \dots, k\}$ is an orthonormal family. Therefore $V \equiv \overline{\pi(\mathcal{O}_A)\Omega}$ is a $P(J^p)$ -component of $\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, $\text{Lin}\langle \{\pi(s_{J^q})\Omega : q = 1, \dots, p\} \rangle = \text{Lin}\langle \{\Omega_q : q = 1, \dots, p\} \rangle$. Hence $\Omega_i \in V$ and $\mathcal{H}_i \subset V$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Therefore $\mathcal{H} = V$ and $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is $P(J^p)$. From this, we obtain (5.2). By Lemma 3.1 and (5.2), (5.1) is verified. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 5.2 (i) For $(J, z) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^* \times U(1)$, $P(J; z)$ is irreducible if and only if J is non periodic. Especially, for $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$, $P(J)$ is irreducible if and only if J is non periodic.

(ii) For $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$ and $z, z' \in U(1)$, $P(J; z) \sim P(J'; z')$ if and only if $(J, z) \sim (J', z')$.

(iii) The decomposition in (5.1) is multiplicity free when J is non periodic.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 5.2, the statement holds.

(ii) If $(J, z) \sim (J', z')$, then $P(J; z) \sim P(J'; z')$ by Lemma 4.7. Assume that $P(J; z) \sim P(J'; z')$. If J and J' are non periodic, then the statement is shown in Proposition 4.8. If J is periodic, then $P(J; z)$ is not irreducible by (i) and decomposed into direct sum of finite irreducible components by Theorem 5.2. Therefore $P(J'; z')$ must not be irreducible. By (i), J' is periodic. By comparing irreducible components of $P(J; z)$ and those of $P(J'; z')$ and Proposition 4.8, we see that their sets of irreducible components coincide up to unitary equivalence. From this, $(J, z) \sim (J', z')$.

(iii) By (i) and (ii), the assertion holds. $\blacksquare$

By Proposition 4.10 and Corollary 5.2, we have the following:

Proposition 5.3 For $J, J' \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$, $P(J) \sim P(J')$ if and only if $J \sim J'$.

Lemma 5.4 For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^*$, $P(J^\infty) \sim \int_{U(1)}^\oplus P(J; c) d\eta(c)$ where η is the Haar measure of $U(1)$.

Proof. Recall $\mathcal{T}(A; j)$ in (2.2). For $J = (j_n)_{n=1}^k \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k$, define $\Lambda' \equiv \Lambda'_1 \sqcup \Lambda'_2 \sqcup \Lambda'_3$ where $\Lambda'_1 \equiv \mathbf{Z}$, $\Lambda'_2 \equiv \coprod_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Lambda'_{2,n}$, $\Lambda'_{2,n} \equiv \{(j, n) : j \in \mathcal{T}^{(1)}(A; j_n), j \neq j_{n-1}\}$ and $j_{kn+m} \equiv j_m$ for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $m = 1, \dots, k$ and $\Lambda'_3 \equiv \coprod_{(j,n) \in \Lambda'_2} \mathcal{T}(A; j) \times \{(j, n)\}$. Define a subset of Λ' by

$$D(g_i) \equiv \{kn + m \in \mathbf{Z} : n \in \mathbf{Z}, a_{ij_m} = 1\} \sqcup \{(\mathcal{T}(i; A) \times \mathbf{Z}) \cap \Lambda'\}$$

and let $g = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a family of maps by $g_i : D(g_i) \rightarrow \Lambda'$;

$$\begin{cases} g_i(kn + m) \equiv \begin{cases} kn + m - 1 & (i = j_{m-1}), \\ (i, kn + m) & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} & (kn + m \in \Lambda'_1(J) \cap D(g_i)) \\ g_i(J', n) \equiv (\{i\} \cup J', n) & ((J', n) \in (\Lambda'_2 \sqcup \Lambda'_3) \cap D(g_i)). \end{cases}$$

Then g is an A -branching function system on Λ' and g is $P(J^\infty)$ and $g_J(kn + 1) = k(n - 1) + 1$. Hence $(l_2(\Lambda'), \pi_g)$ is $P(J^\infty)$.

Let f and $\Lambda(A, J)$ be in Lemma 2.4. Define $\mathcal{K} \equiv l_2(\Lambda(A, J))$ and a unitary T from $l_2(\Lambda')$ to $L_2(U(1), \mathcal{K})$ by

$$Te_{kn+m} \equiv \zeta_n \otimes e_{J_m}, \quad Te_{j, kn+m} \equiv \zeta_n \otimes e_{j, J_m}, \quad Te_{x, j, kn+m} \equiv \zeta_n \otimes e_{x, j, J_m}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $m = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\Pi \equiv \text{Ad}T \circ \pi_g$. Then $\Pi(s_J)(\zeta_n \otimes e_{J_1}) = \zeta_{n-1} \otimes e_{J_1}$. For $c \in U(1)$, define a representation π_c of $\mathcal{O}_A$ on $\mathcal{K}$ by

$$\pi_c(s_i)e_{x, J_l} \equiv \chi_{D(f_i)}(x, J_{l-1}) \cdot e_{f_i(x, J_{l-1})}, \quad \pi_c(s_i)e_{x, J_1} \equiv \bar{c} \cdot \chi_{D(f_i)}(x, J_1) \cdot e_{f_i(x, J_1)}$$

for $l = 2, \dots, k$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $x \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^*$ such that $(x, J_l) \in D(f_i)$ where $(0, J_l) \equiv J_l$. By Lemma 2.4, we can verify that $(\mathcal{K}, \pi_c)$ is $P(J; \bar{c})$. Further $(\Pi(s_i)\phi)(c) = \pi_c(s_i)\phi(c)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, $\phi \in L_2(U(1), \mathcal{K})$ and $c \in U(1)$. Therefore $P(J^\infty) \sim \Pi \sim \int_{U(1)}^\oplus \pi_c d\eta(c) \sim \int_{U(1)}^\oplus P(J; \bar{c}) d\eta(c) \sim \int_{U(1)}^\oplus P(J; c) d\eta(c)$. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 5.5 *If $K \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$ is eventually periodic, then there uniquely exists $J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^*$ such that*

$$P(K) \sim \int_{U(1)}^\oplus P(J; c) d\eta(c). \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. By the assumption of K , there is $J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^*$ such that $P(K) \sim P(J^\infty)$. By Lemma 5.4, the existence is verified. By assumption, $P((J')^\infty) \sim P(K) \sim P(J^\infty)$. This is equivalent that $(J')^\infty \sim J^\infty$. Since $J, J' \in [1, \dots, N]_A^*$, the uniqueness holds. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 5.6 *For $K \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$, $P(K)$ is irreducible if and only if K is non eventually periodic.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 5.5, the statement holds. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 5.7 *For $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be a permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$, and $< 1, \dots, N >_A^\#$ and $[1, \dots, N]_A^\#$ be in (2.1).*

(i) *The following decomposition into cyclic subspaces holds:*

$$(\mathcal{H}, \pi) \sim \bigoplus_{J \in < 1, \dots, N >_A^\#} P(J)^{\oplus \nu_J} \quad (5.4)$$

where ν_J is the multiplicity of $P(J)$. Furthermore (5.4) is unique up to unitary equivalence.

(ii) *The following irreducible decomposition holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \bigoplus_{J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^*} \mathcal{H}_J \oplus \bigoplus_{K \in [1, \dots, N]_A^\infty} \mathcal{H}_K, \\ \mathcal{H}_J &= \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} \left\{ \bigoplus_{j=1}^p \mathcal{H}_{J,p,j} \right\}^{\oplus \nu_{J,p}} \oplus \left\{ \int_{U(1)}^\oplus \mathcal{H}_{J,\infty,z} dm(z) \right\}^{\oplus \nu_{J,\infty}}, \quad \mathcal{H}_K = \{\mathcal{H}_{K,0}\}^{\oplus \nu_K} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{J,p,j}$, $\mathcal{H}_{J,\infty,z}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{K,0}$ are $P(J; e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j/p})$, $P(J; z)$ and $P(K)$, respectively, and $\nu_{J,p}$ and ν_K are multiplicities.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 5.3, the statement holds.
(ii) Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.5 imply the decomposition. $\blacksquare$

Assume that there are two irreducible decompositions of a given permutative representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$. If there is no direct integral component, then the uniqueness follows. If there is a direct integral decomposition on $U(1)$ as a style in (5.3), then the uniqueness holds in a sense of Proposition 5.5. In consequence, the irreducible decomposition of permutative representation as a form in Proposition 5.7 (ii) is unique up to unitary equivalence.

Proposition 5.8 *For any $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, any permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ is completely reducible and irreducible decomposition as a form in Proposition 5.7 (ii) is unique up to unitary equivalence.*

Proposition 5.9 *For $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be a permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ with phases. Then the following unique decomposition into cyclic representations up to unitary equivalence holds:*

$$(\mathcal{H}, \pi) \sim \bigoplus_{(J,c) \in [1, \dots, N]_A^* \times U(1)} P(J; c)^{\oplus \nu_{J,c}} \oplus \bigoplus_{K \in \langle 1, \dots, N \rangle_A^\infty} P(K)^{\oplus \nu_K}$$

where $\nu_{J,c}$ and ν_K are multiplicities. Especially, if $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is cyclic, then $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is equivalent to either $P(J; c)$ or $P(K)$.

Proof. By assumption, there is a complete orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{(z_{i,n}, m_{i,n}) \in U(1) \times \Lambda : (i, n) \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times \Lambda_i\}$ such that $\pi(s_i)e_n = z_{i,n}\chi_{\Lambda_i}(n) \cdot e_{m_{i,n}}$ for each $(i, n) \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times \Lambda$. Define a new permutative representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0)$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ by $\pi_0(s_i)e_n \equiv \chi_{\Lambda_i}(n) \cdot e_{m_{i,n}}$ for $(i, n) \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times \Lambda$. By Proposition 5.7 (i), π_0 is decomposed into the direct sum of permutative representations:

$$\pi_0 \sim \bigoplus_{J \in \langle 1, \dots, N \rangle_A^*} P(J)^{\oplus \nu_J} \oplus \bigoplus_{K \in \langle 1, \dots, N \rangle_A^\infty} P(K)^{\oplus \nu_K}.$$

Therefore $\pi_0|_V$ is $P(J)$ or $P(K)$ for some subspace $V \subset \mathcal{H}$. If $\pi_0|_V$ is $P(J)$, then there is a cyclic unit vector $\Omega \in V$ such that $\pi_0(s_J)\Omega = \Omega$. By definition of π_0 , there is $c_J \in U(1)$ such that $\pi(s_J)\Omega = c_J\Omega$. Because $(V, \pi_0|_V)$ is cyclic, $(V, \pi|_V)$ is. Therefore $\pi|_V$ is $P(J; c_J)$. If $\pi_0|_V$ is $P(K)$, then we see that $\pi|_V$ is $P(K)$ by checking the condition of chain. In consequence

$$\pi \sim \bigoplus_{J \in \langle 1, \dots, N \rangle_A^*} P(J; c_J)^{\oplus \nu_J} \oplus \bigoplus_{K \in \langle 1, \dots, N \rangle_A^\infty} P(K)^{\oplus \nu_K}.$$

When J is periodic, $P(J; c_J)$ is decomposed into the direct sum of elements in $\{P(J'; c') : (J', c') \in [1, \dots, N]_A^* \times U(1)\}$ by Theorem 5.2. Hence the statement holds. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) and (ii) follow from Proposition 5.9. (iii) follows from Corollary 5.2 (i) and Proposition 5.6. (iv), (v) and (vi) follow from Corollary 5.2 (ii) and Proposition 5.3. $\blacksquare$

6 States and spectrums

Fix $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$. Operator algebraists prefer *states* than representations. Therefore we show states of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated with permutative representations.

Proposition 6.1 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P(J)$ with the GP vector Ω for $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^\#$. Define a state ω of $\mathcal{O}_A$ by $\omega \equiv \langle \Omega | \pi(\cdot) | \Omega \rangle$. Then the following holds:*

(i) *When $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k$,*

$$\omega(s_{J'} s_{J''}^*) = \begin{cases} 1 & (0 \leq \exists p \leq k-1, \text{ s.t. } J', J'' \in \mathcal{I}_p(J)), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_p(J) \equiv \{J^a \cup (j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^ : a \geq 0\}$.*

(ii) *When $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$,*

$$\omega(s_{J'} s_{J''}^*) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } J' = J'' = (j_1, \dots, j_m)), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

(iii) *The GNS representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ by a state ω which satisfies (6.1) or (6.2) is equivalent to $P(J)$.*

(iv) *ω is pure if and only if J is non periodic or non eventually periodic.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) are verified by direct computation.

(iii) The statement follows from the uniqueness of the GNS representation.

(iv) Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 5.6 imply the assertion. $\blacksquare$

We consider the spectrum of $\mathcal{O}_A$ associated with permutative representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$. $\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_A$ is the *spectrum* of $\mathcal{O}_A$ which consists of all unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{O}_A$. Define $\text{PSpec}\mathcal{O}_A$ by the subset of $\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_A$ which consists of all unitary equivalence classes of irreducible permutative representations. By Proposition 3.5, Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 5.6, the following one-to-one correspondence holds:

$$\text{PSpec}\mathcal{O}_A \cong [1, \dots, N]_A^\#.$$

By regarding phase factor, $\{[1, \dots, N]_A^* \times U(1)\} \sqcup [1, \dots, N]_A^\infty$ is identified with a subset of $\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_A$. Let $A_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We see that $\{1, 2\}_{A_1, c}^* = \{(1)^n, (2)^n : n \geq 1\}$ and $\{1, 2\}_{A_1}^\infty = \{(1)^\infty, (1)^{n-1} \cup (2)^\infty : n \geq 1\}$. Hence $[1, 2]_{A_1}^* = \{(1), (2)\}$, $[1, 2]_{A_1}^\infty = \emptyset$ and $\#\text{PSpec}\mathcal{O}_{A_1} = 2$. $\{(1)^n \cup (2) : n \geq 1\}$ is a proper subset of $[1, 2]_{A_2}^*$. From this, $\#\text{PSpec}\mathcal{O}_{A_2} = \infty$.

7 Examples

7.1 Naive examples

Let $A_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Define a representation $(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{A_1}$ by

$$\pi(s_1)e_{4(n-1)+i} \equiv \delta_{2,i}e_{4(n-1)+1}, \quad \pi(s_3)e_n \equiv e_{4(n-1)+2},$$

$$\pi(s_2)e_{4(n-1)+i} \equiv \delta_{1,i}e_{4(n-1)+4} + \delta_{2,i}e_{4(n-1)+3}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then $(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi)$ is $P(13)$.

Proof. Define $D_1 \equiv \{4(n-1)+2 : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, $D_2 \equiv \{4(n-1)+1, 4(n-1)+2 : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, $D_3 \equiv \mathbf{N}$ and $f = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ by $\pi(s_i)e_n \equiv e_{f_i(n)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $n \in D_i$. Then $f \in \text{BFS}_{A_1}(\mathbf{N})$ and f is cyclic. We see that f has neither chain nor cycle in $\{n \in \mathbf{N} : n \geq 3\}$ and $(f_1 \circ f_3)(1) = 1$. This implies that $\pi(s_1 s_3)e_1 = e_1$. Hence the statement holds. $\blacksquare$

Define a representation $(l_2(\mathbf{N} \times \{1, 2\}), \pi)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{A_2}$ by

$$\pi(s_1)e_{n,i} \equiv \delta_{2,i}e_{n,1}, \quad \pi(s_3)e_{n,i} \equiv \delta_{1,i}e_{5(n-1)+4,2} + \delta_{2,i}e_{5(n-1)+5,2},$$

$$\pi(s_2)e_{5(n-1)+m,i} \equiv \delta_{1,i}e_{5(5(n-1)+m-1)+1,2} + \delta_{2,i}(\delta_{4,m}e_{5(n-1)+2,2} + \delta_{5,m}e_{5(n-1)+3,2})$$

for $i = 1, 2$, $m = 1, \dots, 5$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ where $\{e_{n,i}\}$ is the canonical basis of $l_2(\mathbf{N} \times \{1, 2\})$. Then $(l_2(\mathbf{N} \times \{1, 2\}), \pi)$ is $P(12)$.

Proof. We see that π is cyclic, $\pi(s_1 s_2) e_{1,1} = e_{1,1}$ and there is no cycle except this. Therefore the statement holds. $\blacksquare$

7.2 Standard representation

We define the standard A -branching function system and the standard representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ for a given $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$.

For $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, a data $\{(M_i, q_i, B_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ is called the (canonical) A -coordinate if $B_i \equiv \{j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : a_{ij} = 1\}$, $M_i \equiv a_{i1} + \dots + a_{iN}$, $q_i : B_i \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M_i\}$; $q_i(j) \equiv \#\{k \in B_i : k \leq j\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. An A -branching function system $f^{(A)} = \{f_i^{(A)}\}_{i=1}^N$ on $\mathbf{N}$ defined by

$$f_i^{(A)}(N(m-1) + j) \equiv N(M_i(m-1) + q_i(j) - 1) + i \quad (m \in \mathbf{N}, j \in B_i),$$

$$R(f_i^{(A)}) \equiv \{N(n-1) + i : n \in \mathbf{N}\}, \quad D(f_i^{(A)}) \equiv \coprod_{j \in B_i} R(f_j^{(A)}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, N)$$

is called the *standard A -branching function system*. We call $(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi_{f^{(A)}})$ by the *standard representation* of $\mathcal{O}_A$ and denote $(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi_S^{(A)})$.

In order to show the decomposition formula of the standard representation, we define cycles arising from some finite dynamical system associated with A . For $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, define a map φ_A on $\{1, \dots, N\}$ by

$$\varphi_A(i) \equiv \min\{j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : a_{ij} = 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, N). \quad (7.1)$$

Then $\{1, \dots, N\}$ contains cycles by φ_A , that is, $C = \{n_i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is a *cycle* in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ by φ_A if $\varphi_A(n_i) = n_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $\varphi_A(n_m) = n_1$.

Definition 7.1 (i) $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is the A -cycle set if $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is the set of all cycles in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ by φ_A . Define $m_i \equiv \#C_i$ and $j_{i,1} \equiv \min C_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

(ii) $\mathcal{J}_A \equiv \{J_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset \{1, \dots, N\}^*$ is the A -cyclic index set if $J_i \equiv (j_{i,c})_{c=1}^{m_i} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{m_i}$ and $j_{i,c} \equiv \varphi_A^{c-1}(j_{i,1})$ for $c = 1, \dots, m_i$ where $j_{i,1} \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and m_i are in (i). Let $\mathcal{J}_{A,\infty} \equiv \{(j_i)_{i=1}^m \in \mathcal{J}_A : \forall l, a_{j_1,l} = \delta_{l,j_2}, \dots, a_{j_{m-1},l} = \delta_{l,j_m}, a_{j_m,l} = \delta_{l,j_1}\}$, $\mathcal{J}_{A,1} \equiv \mathcal{J}_A \setminus \mathcal{J}_{A,\infty}$.

Lemma 7.2 (i) Let $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$ with the A -coordinate $\{(M_i, q_i, B_i)\}_{i=1}^N$. For $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \{1, \dots, N\}_{A,c}^k$, the standard A -branching function system has a $P(J)$ -component if and only if $q_{j_i}(j_{i+1}) = 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $q_{j_k}(j_1) = 1$.

- (ii) For any $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, the standard A -branching function system has no chain.
- (iii) For $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, if $\mathcal{J}_A$ is the A -cyclic index set and $\mathcal{J}_{A,1}$, $\mathcal{J}_{A,\infty}$ are in Definition 7.1, then the standard A -branching function system is decomposed as $\bigoplus_{J \in \mathcal{J}_{A,1}} P(J) \oplus \left\{ \bigoplus_{K \in \mathcal{J}_{A,\infty}} P(K) \right\}^{\oplus \infty}$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are verified by their definition directly.

(iii) We denote $f^{(A)}$ by f simply. Let f be the standard A -branching function system. By Lemma 2.3 and (ii), f is decomposed into only cycles. On the other hand, any cycle component of f is one of $\{P(J) : J \in \mathcal{J}_A\}$ by (i). Therefore f is decomposed as a direct sum of $\{P(J) : J \in \mathcal{J}_A\}$ with multiplicities. If $J \in \mathcal{J}_{A,\infty}$, then f has a $P(J)^{\oplus \infty}$ -component. If $J \in \mathcal{J}_{A,1}$, then the cycle of f by J is a subset of $\{1, \dots, N\}$. By definition of $\mathcal{J}_A$, $P(J)$ appears in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ once for all. In consequence, the statement holds. ■

Proposition 7.3 For $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, let $(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi_S^{(A)})$ be the standard representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$. Then the following holds:

(i)

$$(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi_S^{(A)}) \sim \bigoplus_{J \in \mathcal{J}_{A,1}} P(J) \oplus \left\{ \bigoplus_{K \in \mathcal{J}_{A,\infty}} P(K) \right\}^{\oplus \infty}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{A,1}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{A,\infty}$ are in Definition 7.1.

- (ii) $\pi_S^{(A)}$ is multiplicity free if and only if $\mathcal{J}_{A,\infty} = \emptyset$. Under this condition, $\pi_S^{(A)}$ is irreducible if and only if $\#\mathcal{J}_{A,1} = 1$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 7.2, the statement holds.

(ii) By (i), the first statement holds. If $J = (j_l)_{l=1}^m \in \mathcal{J}_{A,1}$, then $j_i \neq j_{i'}$ when $i \neq i'$. Therefore, any element in $\mathcal{J}_{A,1}$ is non periodic. Hence the second statement holds. ■

If A is full, that is, $\mathcal{O}_A = \mathcal{O}_N$, then $\pi_S^{(A)}$ is always $P(1)$ for each $N \geq 2$.

We show examples of $\pi_S^{(A)}$ by Proposition 7.3. For this purpose, we use $s_1, \dots, s_N$ as canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_A$ and define operators $t_1, t_2, k_1, k_2, k_3, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$ on $l_2(\mathbf{N})$ by

$$t_i e_n \equiv e_{2(n-1)+i}, \quad k_i e_n \equiv e_{3(n-1)+i}, \quad u_i e_n \equiv e_{4(n-1)+i}$$

where $\{e_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is the canonical basis of $l_2(\mathbf{N})$.

Let $A_3 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_4 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The standard representation $(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi_S^{(A_3)})$ of $\mathcal{O}_{A_3}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_S^{(A_3)}(s_1) &\equiv k_1(t_1 k_2^* + t_2 k_3^*), & \pi_S^{(A_3)}(s_2) &\equiv k_2(t_1 k_1^* + t_2 k_3^*), \\ \pi_S^{(A_3)}(s_3) &\equiv k_3(t_1 k_1^* + t_2 k_2^*). \end{aligned}$$

Then φ_{A_3} in (7.1) is given by $\varphi_{A_3} : \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$; $\varphi_{A_3}(1) = 2$, $\varphi_{A_3}(2) = 1$, $\varphi_{A_3}(3) = 1$. From this, $\varphi_{A_3}^2(1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{J}_{A_3} = \mathcal{J}_{A_3,1} = \{(12)\}$. Therefore

$$(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi_S^{(A_3)}) \sim P(12).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\pi_S^{(A_4)}(s_1) \equiv k_1(t_1 k_1^* + t_2 k_3^*), \quad \pi_S^{(A_4)}(s_2) \equiv k_2(t_1 k_2^* + t_2 k_3^*), \quad \pi_S^{(A_4)}(s_3) \equiv k_3.$$

Then $\mathcal{J}_{A_4,1} = \{(1), (2)\}$, $\pi_S^{(A_4)}(s_1)e_1 = e_1$ and $\pi_S^{(A_4)}(s_2)e_2 = e_2$. Therefore

$$(l_2(\mathbf{N}), \pi_S^{(A_4)}) \sim P(1) \oplus P(2).$$

Next, we show the decomposition of the standard representation $\pi_S^{(A)}$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ for every element in $M_2(\{0, 1\})$ without proof as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} A & \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ \hline \pi_S^{(A)} & P(1) & P(12) & P(1)^{\oplus \infty} & P(1) \oplus P(2)^{\oplus \infty} & (P(1) \oplus P(2))^{\oplus \infty} & (P(1) \oplus P(2))^{\oplus \infty} & P(12)^{\oplus \infty} \end{array}$$

In this way, the standard representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ depends on A . We show

other examples as follows:

A	$\pi_S^{(A)}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\pi_S^{(A)}(s_1) = u_1(t_1u_2^* + t_2u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_2) = u_2(t_1u_2^* + t_2u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_3) = u_3(k_1u_1^* + k_2u_2^* + k_3u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_4) = u_4(k_1u_2^* + k_2u_3^* + k_3u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)} \sim P(2).$
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\pi_S^{(A)}(s_1) = u_1(t_1u_2^* + t_2u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_2) = u_2(t_1u_3^* + t_2u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_3) = u_3(k_1u_1^* + k_2u_2^* + k_3u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_4) = u_4(k_1u_2^* + k_2u_3^* + k_3u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)} \sim P(123).$
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\pi_S^{(A)}(s_1) = u_1(t_1u_3^* + t_2u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_2) = u_2(k_1u_1^* + k_2u_3^* + k_3u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_3) = u_3(t_1u_2^* + t_2u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)}(s_4) = u_4(k_1u_2^* + k_2u_3^* + k_3u_4^*),$ $\pi_S^{(A)} \sim P(132).$

7.3 Shift representation

For $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$, let $X_A \equiv \{1, \dots, N\}_A^\infty$. Define an A -branching function system $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ on X_A by

$$f_i : D(f_i) \rightarrow R(f_i); \quad f_i(j_1, j_2, \dots) \equiv (i, j_1, j_2, \dots), \quad (7.2)$$

$$R(f_i) \equiv \{(j_1, j_2, \dots) \in X_A : j_1 = i\}, \quad D(f_i) \equiv \coprod_{j; a_{ij}=1} R(f_j)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$. The permutative representation $(l_2(X_A), \pi_f)$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ by f in (7.2) is called the *shift representation* of $\mathcal{O}_A$.

Proposition 7.4 *The following irreducible decomposition by π_f holds:*

$$l_2(X_A) = \bigoplus_{J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^*} \mathcal{H}_J \oplus \bigoplus_{K \in [1, \dots, N]_A^\infty} \mathcal{K}_K$$

where $\mathcal{H}_J \equiv \overline{\text{Lin}\langle \{e_x : x \in Y_{J^\infty}\} \rangle}$, $\mathcal{K}_K \equiv \overline{\text{Lin}\langle \{e_x : x \in Y_K\} \rangle}$, $\{e_x : x \in X_A\}$ is the canonical basis of $l_2(X_A)$ and $Y_L \equiv \{L' \in X_A : L \sim L'\}$ for $L \in X_A$. Furthermore

$$\mathcal{H}_J \sim P(J), \quad \mathcal{K}_K \sim P(K).$$

That is, any irreducible permutative representation appears as a component of $(l_2(X_A), \pi_f)$ once for all. Especially, $(l_2(X_A), \pi_f)$ is multiplicity free.

Proof. Let $X_{A,ep}$ be the set of all eventually periodic elements in X_A and $X_{A,nep} \equiv X_A \setminus X_{A,ep}$. If $K \in X_{A,ep}$, then there is $J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^*$ such that $Y_K = Y_{J^\infty}$. From this, $X_{A,ep} = \bigoplus_{J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^*} Y_{J^\infty}$ and $f|_{Y_{J^\infty}}$ is $P(J)$. This implies the decomposition $f|_{X_{A,ep}} \sim \bigoplus_{J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^*} P(J)$. Assume that $K = (k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{A,nep}$ and $x_n \equiv (k_n, k_{n+1}, k_{n+2}, \dots) \in X_A$ for $n \geq 1$. Then $X_{A,nep} = \bigoplus_{K \in [1, \dots, N]_A^\infty} Y_K$, $f|_{Y_K}$ is a cyclic A -branching function system on Y_K and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a chain of $f|_{Y_K}$ by K in Y_K . In consequence, $f|_{X_{A,nep}} \sim \bigoplus_{K \in [1, \dots, N]_A^\infty} P(K)$. Because $X_A = X_{A,ep} \sqcup X_{A,nep}$, we have the decomposition of f into the direct sum of $\{P(J) : J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^\# \}$. From this, the statement holds. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 7.4 can be rewritten as follows:

$$(l_2(X_A), \pi_f) \sim \bigoplus_{J \in [1, \dots, N]_A^\#} P(J).$$

References

- [1] Abe, M. and Kawamura, K.: Recursive Fermion System in Cuntz Algebra. I —Embeddings of Fermion Algebra into Cuntz Algebra —, Comm.Math.Phys. **228**, 85-101 (2002),
- [2] Abe, M. and Kawamura, K.: Pseudo Cuntz Algebra and Recursive FP Ghost System in String Theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A18**, No. 4 (2003) 607-625.
- [3] Bratteli, O. and Jorgensen, P.E.T.: Iterated function Systems and Permutation Representations of the Cuntz algebra, Memories Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1999), no.663.
- [4] Cuntz, J. and Krieger, W.: A class of C^* -algebra and topological Markov chains, Invent.Math., **56** (1980) 251-268.
- [5] Davidson, K.R. and Pitts, D.R.: The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, Math.Ann. **311**, 275-303 (1998), Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **78** (1999) 401-430.

- [6] Kawamura, K.: Polynomial endomorphisms of the Cuntz algebras arising from permutations. I —General theory—, *Lett.Math.Phys.*, (2005) 71:149-158.
- [7] Kawamura, K.: Extensions of representations of the CAR algebra to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$ —the Fock and the infinite wedge—, *J.Math.Phys.*, to appear.
- [8] Kawamura, K.: The Perron-Frobenius operators, invariant measures and representations of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras, *J.Math.Phys.*, to appear.